# Detection of Awareness during Anesthesia: Bispectral Index<sup>TM</sup> (BIS<sup>TM</sup>) or Patient State Index (PSI)?

Schneider G., Grineisen Y., Heindl B.K. Kochs E.F. Anesthesiology 2002; 96: A312

## Introduction

Patient state index (PSI, Physiometrix Inc.) and bispectral index<sup>TM</sup> (BIS<sup>TM</sup>, Aspect Medical Systems, Inc.) are both EEG-derived parameters correlating with the hypnotic component of anesthesia [1, 2]. The present study evaluates the ability of BIS<sup>TM</sup> and PSI to separate unconscious from conscious patients and to detect a period of awareness.

## Methods

Following written informed consent, 40 unpremedicated patients scheduled for surgery under general anesthesia were enrolled into the HIC-approved study. Standard monitoring parameters, BIS<sup>TM</sup> and PSI were continuously recorded. Patients were randomly assigned to one of the following anesthetic regimens: 1: sevoflurane (S) + remifentanil (R) ( $\leq 0.1 \mod \text{kg}-1 \min-1$ ), 2: S + R ( $\geq 0.2 \mod \text{kg}-1 \min-1$ ), 3: Propofol (P) + R ( $\leq 0.1 \mod \text{kg}-1 \min-1$ ), 4: P + R ( $\geq 0.2 \mod \text{kg}-1 \min-1$ ). From induction of anesthesia, every 30 sec patients were asked to squeeze the investigator's hand. R infusion was started. In groups 1 and 2, S was administered via mask, in groups 3 and 4 P was injected (50 mg, followed by 20 mg boluses until loss of consciousness (LOC1) occurred). Following LOC1, circulation of the right forearm was separated from the body by a tourniquet for 5 min, maintaining ability to follow command while succinylcholine (1.0 mg/kg) was given and patients were intubated. Following intubation, P or S was stopped until patients followed command (return of consciousness, ROC1). P or S was re-started, LOC2 occurred. At the end of surgery, drugs were discontinued. Following ROC2, patients were extubated. After compensation for index calculation time, BIS<sup>TM</sup> and PSI at LOC1+2 were compared with BIS<sup>TM</sup> and PSI at ROC1+2 (student t). Prediction probability [3] was calculated from BIS<sup>TM</sup> and PSI at ROC1+2.

### Results

Figure 1 shows BIS<sup>TM</sup> and PSI values at LOC1+2 and ROC 1+2. At LOC1+2, BIS<sup>TM</sup> (66±17) and PSI (55±23) were significantly lower than at ROC1+2 (BIS<sup>TM</sup>: 79±14; PSI: 77±18, mean±SD, p<0.01). Prediction probability was 0.68±0.03 (BIS) and 0.69±0.03 (PSI).

### Discussion

Despite of significant differences between mean values at awareness and mean values at unconsciousness with both BIS<sup>™</sup> and PSI, both parameters may not be sufficient to detect awareness in an individual patient. This is mainly due to inter-individual variability and is reflected by a prediction probability of less than 70%.

References: [1] Br J Anaesth 87: 421-8, 2001 [2] Anesthesiology 86, 836-47, 1997 [3] Anesthesiology 84, 38-51, 1996.